SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Alan L. Sullivan (3152)

Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)

Amy F. Sorenson (8947)

15 West South Temple, Suite 1200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)

David R. Marriott (7572)

Worldwide Plaza

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 474-1000 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2005 JUN 20 P 4: 45

DISTRICT OF UTAH

BY: DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

DECLARATION OF
TODD M. SHAUGHNESSY IN SUPPORT
OF IBM'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON BREACH
OF CONTRACT CLAIMS AND
UNSEALED EXHIBITS THERETO
[Docket Nos. 227 and 228]

Civil No. 2:03CV0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

FILED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH

AUG 1:3 2004 MARKUS B. ZIMMER, CLERK

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Alan L. Sullivan (3152) Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651) Amy F. Sorenson (8947) 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004 Telephone: (801) 257-1900

Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice) David R. Marriott (7572) Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

DECLARATION OF TODD M.
SHAUGHNESSY IN SUPPORT OF IBM'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT ON BREACH OF
CONTRACT CLAIMS

Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

- I, Todd M. Shaughnessy, declare as follows:
- 1. I represent IBM in the lawsuit brought by SCO against IBM, entitled <u>The SCO</u>

 <u>Group, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation</u>, Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK (D.

 Utah 2003). This declaration is submitted in support of Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff IBM's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Breach of Contract Claims.
 - 2. Enclosed herewith are true and correct copies of the following documents:
 - (a) Exhibit 1 is SCO's Second Amended Complaint, dated February 27, 2004.
- (b) Exhibit 2 is SCO's Answer to IBM's Second Amended Counterclaims, dated April 23, 2004.
- (c) Exhibit 3 is IBM's Second Amended Counterclaims, dated March 29, 2004.
- (d) Exhibit 4 is SCO's Memorandum in Opposition to IBM's Motion for Summary Judgment on its Tenth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement, dated July 8, 2004.
- (e) Exhibit 5 is excerpts from the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of William M. Broderick, dated May 11, 2004.
- (f) Exhibit 6 is a letter from Brent O. Hatch to Todd M. Shaughnessy, dated April 19, 2004.
- (g) Exhibit 7 is a document titled "SCO Linux Introduction, Version 1.2", bates numbered SCO1355589-SCO1355653.
- (h) Exhibit 8 is material printed from SCO's website, available at http://www.thescogroup.com/developers/community/contrib/ and

http://www.thescogroup.com/developers/ community/contrib/linux.html, identifying various SCO contributions to Linux.

- (i) Exhibit 9 is the Form 10-K/A, without exhibits, filed by Caldera Systems, Inc. for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2000.
- (j) Exhibit 10 is the Software Agreement (Number SOFT-00015) between AT&T Technologies, Inc. and International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), dated February 1, 1985.
- (k) Exhibit 11 is the Software Agreement (Number SOFT-000321) between AT&T Technologies and Sequent Computer Systems, Inc. ("Sequent"), dated April 18, 1985.
- (1) Exhibit 12 is the Sublicensing Agreement (Number SUB-00015A) between AT&T Technologies and IBM, dated February 1, 1985.
- (m) Exhibit 13 is the Sublicensing Agreement (Number SUB-000321A) between AT&T Technologies and Sequent, dated January 28, 1986.
- (n) Exhibit 14 is the letter agreement between AT&T Technologies and IBM, dated February 1, 1985.
- (o) Exhibit 15 is the Asset Purchase Agreement between Novell, Inc.("Novell") and The Santa Cruz Operation, Inc. ("Santa Cruz"), dated September 19, 1995.
- (p) Exhibit 16 is Amendment No. X, entered into by IBM, Novell and Santa Cruz, dated October 17, 1996.
- (q) Exhibit 17 is a SCO press release titled "Caldera Systems to Acquire SCO Server Software and Professional Services Divisions, Providing World's Largest Linux/UNIX Channel", dated August 2, 2000.

- (r) Exhibit 18 is SCO's original Complaint, dated March 6, 2003.
- (s) Exhibit 19 is IBM's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for the Production of Documents, dated June 13, 2003.
 - (t) Exhibit 20 is SCO's Amended Complaint, dated July 22, 2003.
- (u) Exhibit 21 is SCO's Responses to IBM's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for the Production of Documents, dated August 4, 2003.
- (v) Exhibit 22 is IBM's Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for the Production of Documents, dated September 16, 2003.
- (w) Exhibit 23 is IBM's Memorandum in Support of its First Motion toCompel Discovery, dated October 1, 2003.
- (x) Exhibit 24 is IBM's Memorandum in Support of its Second Motion to Compel Discovery, dated November 6, 2003.
- (y) Exhibit 25 is the transcript of the December 5, 2003 hearing beforeMagistrate Judge Wells.
- (z) Exhibit 26 is the Order Granting IBM's Motions to Compel Discovery and Requests for Production of Documents, dated December 12, 2003.
- (aa) Exhibit 27 is SCO's Revised Supplemental Response to IBM's First and Second Set of Interrogatories, dated January 15, 2004.
- (bb) Exhibit 28 is a letter from Todd M. Shaughnessy to Brent O. Hatch, dated January 30, 2004.
- (cc) Exhibit 29 is a letter from Mark J. Heise to David R. Marriott, dated February 4, 2004.

- (dd) Exhibit 30 is the transcript of the February 6, 2004 hearing before Magistrate Judge Wells.
- (ee) Exhibit 31 is the Order Regarding SCO's Motion to Compel Discovery and IBM's Motion to Compel Discovery, dated March 4, 2004.
- (ff) Exhibit 32 is Supplement No. 1 to the Software Agreement between AT&T Technologies and IBM.
- (gg) Exhibit 33 is Supplement No. 2 to the Software Agreement between AT&T Technologies and Sequent.
- (hh) Exhibit 34 is excerpts from the Deposition of Otis L. Wilson, dated June10, 2004.
- (ii) Exhibit 35 is excerpts from the Deposition of David Frasure, dated June 8,2004.
- (jj) Exhibit 36 is excerpts from the Deposition of David P. Rodgers, datedJune 10, 1984.
 - (kk) Exhibit 37 is the April 1985 edition of AT&T's \$ echo newsletter.
 - (II) Exhibit 38 is the August 1985 edition of AT&T's \$ echo newsletter.
- (mm) Exhibit 39 is the Software Agreement (Number SOFT-000302) between AT&T Information Systems, Inc. and Santa Cruz, dated May 6, 1987.
- (nn) Exhibit 40 is a document entitled "Caldera Intellectual Property Title and Ownership", bates numbered SCO1178124.
- (oo) Exhibit 41 is a letter from Joseph A. LaSala Jr. (Novell's General Counsel) to Ryan Tibbitts (SCO's General Counsel), dated October 7, 2003.

- (pp) Exhibit 42 is a letter from Joseph A. LaSala to Ryan Tibbitts and Ronald A. Lauderdale (IBM's Assistant General Counsel), dated October 10, 2003.
- (qq) Exhibit 43 is a letter Joseph A. LaSala to Ryan Tibbitts, dated February 6,2004.
- (rr) Exhibit 44 is a letter from Joseph A. LaSala to Ryan Tibbitts and Ronald A. Lauderdale, dated February 11, 2004.
- (ss) Exhibit 45 is a letter from Jack L. Messman (Novell's Chairman and CEO) to Darl McBride (SCO's President and CEO), dated June 9, 2003.
- (tt) Exhibit 46 is a letter from Jack L. Messman to Darl McBride and Ronald A. Lauderdale, dated June 12, 2003.
- (uu) Exhibit 47 is a SCO press release titled "Caldera, Conectiva, SuSE, Turbolinux Partner to Create UnitedLinux, and Produce a Uniform Version of Linux for Business", dated May 30, 2002.
- (vv) Exhibit 48 is a UnitedLinux press release titled "UnitedLinux ReleasesVersion 1.0", dated November 19, 2002.
- (ww) Exhibit 49 is a SCO press release titled "SCO Unveils SCO Linux 4.0, Powered by UnitedLinux", dated November 19, 2002.
- (xx) Exhibit 50 is a UnitedLinux press release titled "UnitedLinux Signs IBM and AMD as First Technology Partners", dated January 14, 2003.
- (yy) Exhibit 51 is a SCO product announcement for OpenLinux Server Release3.1.1., dated January 24, 2002, bates numbered SCO1324397-SCO1324404.

- (xx) Exhibit 52 is a SCO product announcement for OpenLinux Workstation Release 3.1.1., dated January 24, 2002, bates numbered SCO1324405-SCO1324412.
- (aaa) Exhibit 53 is excerpts from the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Erik W. Hughes, dated May 11, 2004.
- (bbb) Exhibit 54 is a SCO product announcement for SCO Linux Server 4.0, Powered by UnitedLinux, dated November 19, 2002, bates numbered SCO1270429-SCO1270436.
- (ccc) Exhibit 55 is a document titled "Technical Overview of SCO Linux 4.0 Powered by United Linux", bates numbered SCO1307695-SCO1307714.
- (ddd) Exhibit 56 is a SCO product announcement for SCO Linux Server 4.0 for the Itanium Processor Family, dated April 14, 2003, bates numbered SCO1269792-SCO1269797.
- (eee) Exhibit 57 is invoices attached as Tab 121 to SCO's Revised

 Supplemental Responses to IBM's First and Second Set of Interrogatories, dated January 15,

 2004.
- (fff) Exhibit 58 is a selection of invoices produced by SCO for Linux products sold after March 7, 2003.
- (ggg) Exhibit 59 is excerpts from Exhibit 3(a) to the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Erik W. Hughes.
 - (hhh) Exhibit 60 is the General Public License.

- 3. Volume I contains Exhibits 1-10, Volume II contains Exhibits 11-24, and Volume III contains Exhibits 25-60. True and correct copies of Exhibits 5, 7, 40, 53, 57, 58, and 59, however, are filed separately under seal pursuant to the protective order in this case.
 - 4. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: August 13, 2004.

Salt Lake City, Utah

Todd M. Shaughnessy

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the $13^{1/2}$ day of August, 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was hand delivered to the following:

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

and was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

Amy F. Sorenson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20 day of June, 2005, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver
Edward Normand
Sean Eskovitz
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504

Amy F. Sorenson